

THE ADECCO GROUP

Comparing the outcome of Governments' response to Covid-19

Analysis of mid-May 2020

Contents

3
5
6
13
16
17

A. Executive summary



Ambition: This paper aims to compare government responses to the Covid-19 crisis, in order to determine which policies lead to the best economic outcome. This is the second iteration of this paper published first in mid-April 2020, using updated economic indicators, and adding two new countries (Japan & USA) in this mid-May 2020 edition.



Methodology: Applying the same methodology, we compare 12 countries on a set of macroeconomic indicators, plotting that against various policy responses, both in terms of lockdown/ isolation measures and economic support measures to workers and businesses.



Conclusion: As the effects of the government measures emerge, our data points to the following conclusions at this moment of the Pandemic:

- Switzerland, Sweden and Germany (still) seem to show the most favourable economic forecast, with Sweden rallying up our listing. They form the top tier (Group A). Their policy recipe is based on
 - Short-time work
 - A sizeable economic stimulus of up to 10% of GDP for Switzerland and Germany (increased from 6-8% of GDP a month ago)
- Other countries are ranked as follows:
 - Group B consisting of countries with generally decent performances per our indicators but some individual weaknesses including Belgium, France, the Netherlands, the US and Japan.
 - Group C consisting of **Austria** and **Italy**, which show more weaknesses, but still some individual good performances.
 - A clear bottom tier (Group D) is formed by **Spain** and the **UK**, which show generally weaker performances across indicators.
- As one of the correlations identified, countries with higher mortality rates also face a higher drop in GDP. The exception is **Sweden**, which despite high fatality rate faces a moderate drop in GDP.
- The number of cases in a country is not the only determinant of economic impact however.
 With an apparent effective government response Switzerland has been able to mitigate the impact of a high number of infections with the least impact on macroeconomic performance. The UK however is at the other end of that scale, with fewer relative infections but a significant economic impact.

Recommendations:

One month after the first analysis, our reading of the effects of the government response to COVID-19 confirms our recommendations, with a set of added dimensions.

- Keep up economic activity: while workers' health and safety is the absolute baseline and the unique specificity to this crisis, it is becoming apparent that every week without economic activity exponentially increases the negative economic impact of the crisis, and the potential for economic recovery.
- **Responsiveness:** a month in, our data confirms that the countries that reacted swiftly politically in terms of putting in place an economic stimulus package have supported the upkeep of GDP. Important at this stage of the crisis is that the support measures are being extended and adjusted notably by adding funds to the stimulus packages and prolonging the support schemes.
- Social peace: more than any crisis before, this pandemic demands the cooperation of all country decision makers. The rankings confirm that those countries with a model of social dialogue based on negotiation and not confrontation are faring best, importantly also with regards to trust in the political process and consumer confidence, needed to accelerate the pace towards economic growth.
- **Support employment:** data confirms that in Europe alone applications to put about a quarter of the EU workforce or 50 million workers on short-time work or similar schemes were made, as keeping people in work and thus avoiding lay-offs seems to be the right answer to such endemic shocks. Practice shows that the implementation of those schemes varies from country to country in terms of inclusiveness, volume and duration. Those countries who in addition already had adequate social protection systems in place, to create security and predictability, are faring best under this indicator.
- Focus on driving the financial support to the beneficiaries: with a month passed, businesses and workers in many countries have still not received the funds spoken in the respective stimulus packages. This will hamper consumption and is already leading to an increase of poverty and bankruptcies, which will impact economic recovery. Getting the funds to businesses and workers is becoming a critical component in the mitigation of this crisis.



B. Introduction

This crisis is different. It was triggered by the need to protect the world's population from falling victim to a virus that knows no boundaries. It has left no country in the world unaffected, impacting economies, labour markets and consumption patterns throughout. The level of political intervention in economic, policy and monetary policy – as well as labour market policy – is unprecedented. As indicated a month ago, containment policies flatten the health curve but they have steepened the recession curve. This unavoidable trade-off has led to responses from the Governments diverse in size and scope, speed of onset and communication.

This paper aims to compare government responses to the Covid-19 crisis, in order to carve out the most effective policies. Building on a first analysis made in mid-April, the conclusions drawn in the current edition of mid-May allow us to start identifying trends and continue to draw lessons for countries that are still in the middle of the pandemic. As is, we are still working on the basis of incomplete data. This is why this paper continues to be a living document, which is now in its second version, and which we aim to update further as our understanding of the impact of government measures improves.

This paper focuses on 12 countries: Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the UK and as newly added countries Japan and the USA. These were selected to offer a wide variety of policy responses within a global context. The country base may be expanded upon in future versions of the paper.

In our analysis, we looked at the following variables:

- Macro-economic indicators
- Type and timing of lockdown/isolation measures
- Measures to support businesses
- Measures to support workers, including where applicable specifically also agency workers

The findings are complemented by country overviews structured along the same set of indicators.

C. Macroeconomic analysis

Methodology:

We ranked the twelve countries in scope, based on the change in the macroeconomic KPIs in March/April vs. the pre-COVID-19 period (either January or February 2020).

From 1 to 12, each receives a score based on the ranking. We summed all the scores, and the country with the lowest score is the best (meaning it has, on average, been ranked top across the KPIs).

Best, under our assumptions, means that the KPIs have seen, on average across the indicators, the lowest move, such as the lowest increase in unemployment, or the smallest drop in GDP.

Results:

Based on the above methodology, we can indicate that the macroeconomic impact continues to have responded best in **Switzerland** (lowest score at 14), making the case for effective government policy, while the measures <u>have yet still to show good results in **Spain**</u>. The **UK** has in the past month dropped significantly in our rankings.

Per our analysis, we see patterns emerging which lead us to establish four groups of performers:

- **Group A** consisting of **Switzerland**, **Sweden** and **Germany**, showing good results across indicators.
- **Group B** consisting of countries with generally decent performances but some individual weaknesses including **Belgium, France,** the **Netherlands**, the **US** and **Japan**.
- **Group C** consisting of **Austria** and **Italy**, which show more weaknesses, but still some individual good performances, e.g. **Austria** 2nd rank on GDP and **Italy** 2nd rank on relative changes in unemployment.
- Group D consisting of Spain and the UK, which show generally weaker performances across indicators.

	#cases/1mil	Cases Rank	TOTAL SCORE ECONOMIC KPIs
Austria	1,763	2	37
Belgium	4,612	11	33
France	2,718	6	32
Germany	2,060	3	26
Italy	3,636	9	37
Japan	125	1	32
Netherlands	2,497	4	34
Spain	5,735	12	45
Sweden	2,641	5	19
Switzerland	3,506	8	14
UK	3,286	7	45
USA	4,187	10	34
Source: May 12 th WHO			

		GDP	
	%	vs. Jan fcst	Rank (Score)
AT	-5.5%	-6.5%	2
BE	-7.2%	-8.4%	6
FR	-8.2%	-9.5%	9
DE	-6.5%	-7.6%	5
IT	-9.1%	-9.6%	10
JP	-5.0%	-5.4%	1
NL	-6.8%	-8.4%	7
ES	-9.4%	-11%	12
SE	-6.1%	-6.9%	4
СН	-5.0%	-6.5%	2
UK	-8.3%	-9.7%	11
US	-6.5%	-8.5%	8

	Unemployment							
%	Forecast	vs. Forecast	Rank (Score)					
4.4%	5.8%	31.8%	6					
5.2%	7.0%	34.6%	7					
8.1%	10.1%	24.7%	3					
3.2%	4.0%	25.0%	4					
9.7%	11.8%	21.6%	2					
2.4%	4.3%	79.2%	9					
2.9%	5.9%	103.4%	11					
13.6%	18.9%	39.0%	8					
7.6%	9.7%	27.6%	5					
2.3%	2.7%	17.4%	1					
3.7%	6.7%	81.1%	10					
3.7%	9.2%	148.6%	12					

EC SF 5/20 IMF (Apr 2020)

Eurostat EC SF 5/20

	Stock mkt Index								
	P3M	Jan 1st	Latest	YTD	Rank (Score)				
AT	-30.1%	3,229.4	2,200.8	-31.9%	12				
BE	-26.4%	4,015.9	3,067.8	-23.6%	8				
FR	-25.8%	6,041.5	4,472.5	-26.0%	9				
DE	-20.6%	13,385.8	10,819.5	-19.2%	6				
IT	-29.4%	23,836.3	17,559.3	-26.3%	10				
JP	-14.0%	23,204.8	20,366.5	-12.2%	3				
NL	-17.1%	612.9	520.4	-15.1%	5				
ES	-32.5%	9,691.2	6,762.7	-30.2%	11				
SE	-17.1%	1,808.6	1,570.0	-13.2%	4				
СН	-12.7%	10,699.8	9,733.5	-9.0%	1				
UK	-21.2%	4,231.7	3,294.6	-22.1%	7				
US	-12.6%	3,257.9	2,870.1	-11.9%	2				

Market Watch

Market Watch

	Economic Sentiment Indicator					PMI Compo	site	
	Abs	vs. prev.	Rank (Score)		Abs	3m ago	vs. prev.	Rank (Score)
AT	61.9	-40%	11		31.6	50.2	-37%	6
BE	60.3	-40%	10		41.3	52.9	-22%	2
FR	82.7	-22%	1		11.1	51.1	-78%	10
DE	72.1	-29%	3		17.4	51.2	-66%	8
IT	71.6	-29%	4		10.9	50.4	-78%	11
JP	21.6	-44%	12		25.8	50.1	-49%	7
NL	65.6	-36%	9		41.3	52.9	-22%	2
ES	73.3	-29%	2		9.2	51.5	-82%	12
SE	67.7	-32%	5		45.9	49.1	-7%	1
СН	60.7	-33%	6		36.0	49.5	-27%	4
UK	62.4	-35%	8		13.8	53.3	-74%	9
US	86.9	-34%	7		27.0	40.9	-34%	5
-		Market Watch			Tradi	ng Economics of Glo	balEconomy	

The Adecco Group

Highlighted scores

- **GDP**': Lowest decline: Japan and Switzerland project a relatively modest decline of their GDP by 5% making for -5.4%pt and -6.5%pt gaps respectively compared to pre-Covid-19 estimates.
- Unemployment²: The worst results are in the US, where unemployment has started to skyrocket as a result of the crisis: estimates vary between 148% (EC, 5/2020) and up to 191% (IMF, 4/2020). The Netherlands too are expected to see doubling unemployment figures with an increase of 103%, but overall unemployment remains comparatively low at 5,9%. At an increase of 17.4%, Switzerland delivers the best protection for its labour market.
- **Stock market³:** The mildest decline continues to be in **Switzerland**, while **Austria** continues to show the strongest decline. This factor, in our analysis, greatly explains the drop of Austria in our ranking.
- Economic Sentiment⁴: The large economies in the EU France, Germany, Italy, Spain perform best on consumer confidence. This shows that despite of their particularly serious public health situations at the peak of the pandemic, consumers in these countries have the most optimistic/ least pessimistic expectations for the economic recovery.
- Purchasing intentions⁵: Spain, Italy and France show the steepest declines, and have lost roughly
 4/5 of their respective pre-corona bases.

¹The GDP 2020 growth rate in April is compared to January forecast (according to IMF forecasts)

²The Unemployment rate in April is compared to January forecast (according to IMF forecasts; Eurostat where IMF not available).

³Relevant Local Market Stock index (CAC40, DAX, SMI, etc). We look at rebound compared to January 1st 2020 as a pre-Covid-19 comparison (YTD).

⁴ Economic Sentiment Indicator (ESI), is a composite indicator made up of five sectoral confidence indicators with different weights: industrial confidence indicator (40 %); construction confidence indicator (5 %); services confidence indicator (30 %); consumer confidence indicator (20 %); retail trade confidence indicator (5 %). The growth of the ESI points to an improvement in the situation both at the industry level and at the consumer level.

⁵ PMI Composite (combination of Manufacturing and Services).

Economic performance is in this crisis a result of the severity of the health crisis. When we compare the macroeconomic indicators with infection numbers (number of cases per 1 million population), we get an indication where a country is on the curve, as well as severity. In such a comparison, there are countries that stand out positively or negatively. This suggests that other factors, such as government response, can have significant impact on the economic outcome too.

A couple of remarks ensue from that:

- **Switzerland** is a success story so far: New infections have stabilised at a low level over the last weeks making Switzerland the country with the fifth highest number of cases per capita, but with the least impact on the macroeconomic KPIs.
- On the opposite is the **UK**, which has a relatively moderate number of cases per capita, but the measures taken are not sufficient in averting strong macroeconomic effects.
- In the first version of this paper (published mid-April), Sweden and UK showed similarly good results, but were admittedly at less advanced stages of the crisis than other countries. This has changed over the last five weeks with very different results for both countries: Both are now similarly close to the average of 3,064 cases p. Mn. across all countries in scope, but Sweden (2,641 cases p. Mn.) has the second-best score in macroeconomic KPIs and the UK (3,286 cases p. Mn.) is tied with Spain (5,735 cases p. Mn.) for the last rank.
- Spain is showing the hardest difficulties to manage the highest number of cases per capita.
- At 125 cases per Million, Japan has far fewer infections than all other countries in scope. However, the impact on macroeconomic KPIs is on par with other countries in Group B.



In order to better understand the relationship between the seriousness of the public health crisis and the results on the economy, we plotted the latest GDP change forecasts (Y) against the current Case Fatality Rates (X) in the countries in scope. Two distinct groups can be observed:

- 1. All countries with a Case Fatality Rate of less than 6.5% are expected to experience less than 6.5% decline of their GDP.
- 2. On the other hand, all countries with Case Fatality Rates above 11%, are expected to experience more than 6.8% decline of their GDP.

With a Case Fatality Rate of above 12% but GDP decline of 6.1%, Sweden is the only exception to the rule.

This confirms the approach that an early investment into flattening the curve and preserving capacity of public health systems not only saves lives, but also safeguards the economy from steeper declines.



Case Fatality Rate (11.05.2020)

Plotting the forecast for GDP change against the number of Covid-19 cases per million rather than the case fatality rate shows a slightly different picture, which may be due to the different methodologies that countries use to track both Covid-19 cases and deaths. In this comparison, besides **Group A** countries, particularly **Japan** and **Austria** show good results as well.



COVID-19 cases/ Million pop.



A look at the relative rise of **unemployment** (Y) against the backdrop of cases per million (X) reveals four extreme cases of labour markets that are expected to be hit particularly hard as a result of the pandemic: **Japan**, the **UK**, the **Netherlands** and, **most severely, the US**. These respective labour markets are characterised by different features:

- Japan, the Netherlands and the UK each face a steep increase (79%, 103% and 81% respectively), but come from a relatively low base. Even with the increase, their unemployment rates will be lower than other countries' rates in the pre-Covid-19 situation! Nevertheless, this steep increase in unemployment is a worrying sign that policy makers need to address.
- The **USA** is the only country in our comparison that does not have any form of short-time work in place. The threefold increase of unemployment in the USA serves to illustrate the value of these schemes to keep workers out of unemployment until a potential economic rebound.





D. Overview of measures

The below gives an overview of the most common measures that we have encountered across the countries.

- General
 - By now, every country has responded with economic support measures for businesses and workers. As a matter of fact, most countries have already followed up with a second wave of stimulus packages, and / or an extention of the schemes.
 - The different approaches to **testing population** for Covid-19 continue to influence government policy. Only it has become apparent that a government's ability to execute tests is less linked to a strategic policy approach than the actual capability of providing tests. As governments claim to follow the science, having access to the right data is crucial to inform policy making.
 - The response by governments in terms of tapping into their coffers continues to be impressive. A month in, monetary injections are up to 11% of GDP in the highest case. The differences are becoming apparent though, as the size of the respective economic stimulus packages in most countries is between 2-5% of GDP
 - **Covid-19 cases and Case Fatality Rate** provide an insight into the severity of the pandemic in the individual countries from a public health perspective. The Case Fatality Rate expresses the ratio between confirmed deaths and confirmed cases.
- Support to businesses
 - A month in, all governments have kept up the provision of the wide range of measures offered to companies, ranging from easier access to credit to the waiving or postponement of certain taxes, fees or social security contributions to the payment of certain subsidies for business costs. In some cases, these are earmarked for specific purposes like workers' salaries, in other cases they come as generic contributions.
 - The most comprehensive measure taken seems to be short-time work. The model differs between countries, but it is rooted in the retention of the workforce, with the government covering (part of) the worker's salary for the hours the workers have agreed - often within a timeframe prescribed by government, not to work. At the end of April 2020, in the EU27 there were more than 42 million applications for support for workers on short-time work or similar schemes, which corresponds to about one quarter of the overall EU workforce. If one includes the UK and Switzerland, the number of applications for short-time work rises to more than 50 million.

- Support to workers
 - As the government response has unfolded, the expanded access of sick pay to all workers has driven policy making through the countries in scope.
 - The same applies to the **increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits**, extending it to a larger amount of workers across diverse contractual forms. In many countries, income support is also being granted to freelancers, self-employed and specific cohorts such as artists and sports people.
 - Considering the unique nature of the crisis, a number of countries continue to pair their support measures, especially short-time work, with a **moratorium on dismissals**.
 - The number of countries offering **investment in skills** in parallel to other support measures seems to be increasing, as governments start to consider instruments such as active labour market policies in relation to supporting the unemployed.



When we compare the extend of these government measures expressed in size relative to country GDP with the macroeconomic outcome, as well as with the expected rise in unemployment, a few things stand out:

- The USA is the country with the largest economic stimulus, but that does not prevent it from
 performing in the middle tier in terms of all macroeconomic KPIs, and facing a massive rise in
 unemployment. Part of the explanation for this fact might be that a large part of the US stimulus
 goes towards unemployment benefits and sick pay for workers, tagged as relief measures. These
 measures are part of standard social protection schemes in other countries, and are thus not
 counted as specific stimulus in those countries.
- Germany and Switzerland have both invested large sums in economic stimulus, in part linked to their far-reaching Short-Time Working Schemes. This investment does pay off however, with strong macroeconomic scores and low rises in unemployment.



Size of government stimulus in % of GDP

E. Conclusions

As countries face different starting points as well as different exposure to the actual pandemic, a comparison of the effectiveness of specific measures continues to pose a certain risk, not least because there is a considerable degree of speculation in inferring causality for certain macroeconomic effects from specific policy measures at the current dynamic stage. With that said, the data for the 12 countries in scope seems to suggest that:

- Switzerland, Sweden and Germany perform best across macroeconomic indicators. While Switzerland and Germany share similarities in terms of relative size of economic stimulus, design of their short-time work schemes and case fatality rates, which suggests that there are certain factors that benefit a better macroeconomic performance, the Swedish example shows that alternative approaches too can deliver good results.
 - There seems to be no direct linkage however between the range/number of policy measures announced, and the actual economic impact these measures have. Rather, the timely implementation of targeted measures that safeguard employment and liquidity is key.
- Our model shows that **protecting the public health system** early on to keep case fatality rates as low as possible not only protects lives, but also the economy as a whole as GDP declines are less severe.
- As one of the correlations established, countries with higher mortality rates also face a higher drop in GDP. The exception is **Sweden**, which despite high fatality rate faces a moderate drop in GDP.
- The number of cases in a country is not the only determinant of economic impact however. With an apparent effective government response **Switzerland** has been able to mitigate the impact of a high number of infections with the least impact on macroeconomic performance. The **UK** however is at the other end of that scale, with fewer infections per capita but a significant economic impact.
- While there are question marks around the accuracy of the data on the number of Covid-19 cases and deaths in **Japan** due to low testing rates, this example nevertheless illustrates that in an interdependent and globalised economy, even countries with a very low infection rate suffer economic setbacks comparable to heavily affected, similarly developed economies.
- The **common market of the European Union** appears to improve the outlook for economic recovery as France, Germany, Italy and Spain show better Economic Sentiment Index scores than other large economies outside the block (Japan, US, UK) and high-performer Switzerland.

F. Country fiches (in alphabetical order)

AUSTRIA 🗘						
Commentary Government Measures						
Austria continues to perform particularly well on GDP expectations and its focus on maintaining employment links contributes to making the labour market healthier than the average. However, investors and consumers appear to have less confidence in the Austrian economy, which results in low scores on stock market and economic sentiment indicators.		General	First announcement of response measures Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April Population tested for Covid-19 Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate Access to credit Payments to governments postponed/cut	15/3 8% / 8% 8% 1763 3.92% ✓		
Key indicators			Subsidies for business costs			
GDP growth (vs. Jan forecast)	-5.5% (-6.5%p)	Support to businesses	Compensation for workers on sick leave Short-time work	✓ ✓		
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	5.8% (+31.8%)	ÐZ	Expand access to sick pay Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits Moratorium on dismissals			
Macroeconomic Rank /12 (Rank in April / 10)	9 (2)	Support to workers	Income support for self-employed Investment in skills	<i>✓</i>		
		Total Score	Other social support measures	✓ 8		
Group	C		d a new short-time work scheme specifically for Covid-19, whicl smissals and makes wage compensation dependant on average			

	BELGIUM						
Commentary			Government Measures				
The Belgian stimulus - despite its modest size -			First announcement of response measures Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April	3/3 1.25% / 0.7%			
labour market, especially	delivers decent results for the economy and the labour market, especially considering that Belgium has the 2nd highest number of Covid-19 cases per million. The Belgian policy response has a bottom- up approach that appears to put more emphasis		Population tested for Covid-19	39.8‰			
million. The Belgian policy up approach that appears			Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	4612 16.3%			
on support for workers.			Access to credit	 Image: A start of the start of			
			Payments to governments postponed/cut	 Image: A start of the start of			
Key ind	Key indicators		Subsidies for business costs				
GDP growth (vs. Jan		Support to businesses	Compensation for workers on sick leave				
forecast)	-7.2% (-8.4%p)		Short-time work	 Image: A second s			
			Expand access to sick pay				
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	7.0% (+31.4%)	FA	Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits	 Image: A second s			
Onemployment (vs 2019)		<u> </u>	Moratorium on dismissals				
Macroeconomic Rank /12		(77)]	Income support for self-employed	 Image: A start of the start of			
(Rank in April / 10)	6 (4)	Support to workers	Investment in skills				
		workers	Other social support measures	 Image: A set of the set of the			
		Total Score		6			
Group	В	temporary unempl	nment made its short-time work scheme more accessible by m oyment subject to force majeure and added a daily allowance on top of their wage compensation.	-			

		FRAN	ICE			
Comme	ntary		Government Measures			
France improved its ranking since the first Policy Brief by 4 ranks and shows better results across economic indicators. The French policy measures cover a broad range of issues, but the overall size of the direct fiscal stimulus remains very low compared to the top scorers. Sadly, France now has the highest Case Fatality Rate of all countries in scope.		General	First announcement of response measures Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April Population tested for Covid-19 Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	12/3 19% / 2% 12.7‰ 2718 19.25%		
			Access to credit Payments to governments postponed/cut	✓ ✓		
Key ind	Key indicators		Subsidies for business costs	 Image: A start of the start of		
GDP growth (vs. Jan	-8.2% (-9.5%p)	Support to businesses	Compensation for workers on sick leave	 Image: A start of the start of		
forecast)		-8.2% (-9.5%p) businesse		Short-time work		
			Expand access to sick pay	\checkmark		
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	10.1% (+24.7%)	A	Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits	\checkmark		
onemployment (vs 2017)		<u> </u>	Moratorium on dismissals	\checkmark		
Macroeconomic Rank /12		17511	Income support for self-employed	\checkmark		
(Rank in April / 10)	4 (8)	Support to workers	Investment in skills	 Image: A second s		
		workers	Other social support measures	 Image: A start of the start of		
		Total Score		10		
Group	В		continues to pay 100% of employer's wage bills for now. Worke e-up training through higher wage compensations.	rs are		

GERMANY **Government Measures** Commentary First announcement of response measures 9/3 Germany has drastically expanded its economic stimulus since the first version of this paper. After Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April 10.1%/ 6.9% individual sectors led the way in paying higher wage Population tested for Covid-19 32.9‰ compensations and following a broad discussion among social partners, the German government Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. 2060 General made additional funds available to increase the wage Case Fatality Rate 4.46% compensation of workers in short-time work. Wage Access to credit compensations now increase to 70% (77% for parents) and 80% (87%) after 4 and 7 months of short-time work. Payments to governments postponed/cut Subsidies for business costs **Key indicators** Support to Compensation for workers on sick leave GDP growth (vs. Jan businesses -6.5% (-7.6%p) Short-time work forecast) Expand access to sick pay Forecasted Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits 4.0% (+25.0%) Unemployment (vs 2019) Moratorium on dismissals Income support for self-employed Macroeconomic Rank /12 **3** (5) Support to (Rank in April / 10) Investment in skills workers Other social support measures **Total Score** 7 Group As part of the skills incentive, both employers and employees can request vouchers for training programs. The incentive is integrated into the short-time work scheme, but not limited to it, so that training will continue to be funded after short-time work ends.

Commen	ntary	Government Measures					
Italy has been able to stabilise after suffering the first public health crisis in the wake of the pandemic in Europe. A sizable additional economic stimulus refinanced depleted sectoral social funds and safeguarded social protection for temporarily unemployed workers. Comparatively good results regarding unemployment and economic sentiment give reason to be cautiously optimistic.			First announcement of response measures	17/3			
			Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April Population tested for Covid-19	4.5% / 2% 39.8‰			
		General	Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	4612 16.3%			
			Access to credit	 			
			Payments to governments postponed/cut	 Image: A second s			
Key indi	Key indicators		Subsidies for business costs	 Image: A second s			
GDP growth (vs. Jan forecast) -9.1% (-9.6%		6%p) Support to businesses	Compensation for workers on sick leave	 Image: A second s			
	-9.1% (-9.6%p)		Short-time work	 Image: A set of the set of the			
			Expand access to sick pay	 Image: A second s			
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	11.8% (+21.6%)	FA	Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits	 Image: A set of the set of the			
Unemployment (Vs 2019)		<u> </u>	Moratorium on dismissals	 Image: A second s			
Macroeconomic Rank /12		(77)	Income support for self-employed	 Image: A start of the start of			
(Rank in April / 10)	9 (9)	Support to workers	Investment in skills				
		workers	Other social support measures	 			
		Total Score		10			
Group		impact of the crisis	ctor-specific social funds 3 years ago, which helped to dampen on worker's wages. For authority-mandated quarantine, the gov pay and infections stemming from work are considered work ac	vernment			

<u>ہ</u>	-		~	-1		·v
-0		ш	e	ΠL	aı	Y

JAPAN

Comme	ntary		Government Measures	
Japan was one of the first co			First announcement of response measures	/
feel the impact of the pande low and actual infections ma			Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April	4.5%
low and actual infections may be higher than reported, impact seems to be relatively minimal, achieved by			Population tested for Covid-19	1.6‰
enforcing strict rules on soci work. These measures have and so far successfully limite	delivered good results d economic damages. Yet,	General	Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	125 4.17%
the structure of the Japanese labour market has long been considered one-dimensional and the pandemic is estimated to have severe effects on unemployment.			Access to credit	<
			Payments to governments postponed/cut	<
Key indicators		ا انیسینا	Subsidies for business costs	 Image: A second s
GDD growth (vs. Jan		Support to	Compensation for workers on sick leave	<
GDP growth (vs. Jan forecast) -5% (-5	-5% (-5.4%)	businesses	Short-time work	<
			Expand access to sick pay	<
Forecasted	4.3% (+79.1%)	A 7	Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits	
Unemployment (vs 2019)		<u> </u>	Moratorium on dismissals	
Macroeconomic Rank /12	4 (n/a)	(γr)	Income support for self-employed	<
(Rank in April / 10)	4 (n/a)	Support to	Investment in skills	
		. workers	Other social support measures	<
		Total Score 7		
Group	В	technically provides a s	ne work schemes are not as common in Asian economies. In Japan, the govern ubsidy on partially paid leave for workers. In order to incentivise remote workin % subsidy on installation costs for technology needed to maintain employment	ig, the

NETHERLANDS					
Commentary		Government Measures			
The Netherlands' overall macroeconomic performance is moderate to good. Its good economic basis helps significantly. What stands out however is a doubling of unemployment numbers. While an unemployment rate of 5.9% is still relatively moderate, this sudden increase is a worrying sign.		$\langle \langle \rangle$	First announcement of response measures Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April Population tested for Covid-19	17/3 2.5% / 1.6% 14.85‰	
		General	Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	2497 12.82%	
			Access to credit	 Image: A set of the set of the	
			Payments to governments postponed/cut	 Image: A start of the start of	
Key ind	icators	Support to businesses	Subsidies for business costs	 Image: A second s	
GDP growth (vs. Jan	-6.8% (-8.4%p)		Compensation for workers on sick leave		
forecast)			Short-time work	 Image: A set of the set of the	
		-	Expand access to sick pay		
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	5.9% (+103.4%)	FA	Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits		
onemployment (vs 2019)		L L K	Moratorium on dismissals	(🗸)	
Macroeconomic Rank /12	7 (5)	()() Support to workers	Income support for self-employed		
(Rank in April / 10)			Investment in skills	~	
		workers	Other social support measures	 Image: A start of the start of	
		Total Score		7	
Group	В	abolish the morato	of the economic support package, the government is considerin rium on dismissals for employers that profited from the NOW s ompanies into bankruptcy.		

SPAIN (
Commentary		Government Measures		
Spain continues to be the hardest-hit country in Europe.			First announcement of response measures	12/3
The government has extende package and has wide-rangin		General	Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April	3% / 0.7%
but positive results on macro	economic indicators still		Population tested for Covid-19	34.8‰
remain to be seen. Spain nov restarting economic activity	,		Covid-19 cases/ Million pop.	5735
strict lockdown.			Case Fatality Rate	11.8%
			Access to credit	 Image: A second s
			Payments to governments postponed/cut	 Image: A set of the set of the
Key ind	licators	Support to businesses	Subsidies for business costs	 Image: A start of the start of
GDP growth (vs. Jan	-9.4% (-11%p)		Compensation for workers on sick leave	 Image: A second s
forecast)			Short-time work	\
			Expand access to sick pay	<
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	18.9% (+39%)	A	Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits	 Image: A start of the start of
Unemployment (vs 2019)		<u> </u>	Moratorium on dismissals	>
Macroeconomic Rank /12	11 (10)	()() Support to workers	Income support for self-employed	<
(Rank in April / 10)			Investment in skills	
		workers	Other social support measures	 Image: A start of the start of
Group	D	Total Score		10
		dividend pay-outs.	time work scheme ERTE sets a moratorium on both dismissal Spain regards Covid-19 related lay-offs as force majeure, whic ive full unemployment benefits.	

•		SWEI	DEN	
Commentary		Government Measures		
Having hesitated to enforce physical distancing for longer than other governments, the Swedish response			First announcement of response measures	13/3
to the pandemic has been on Over the last month, Sweden	e of the most controversial.	$\left\{ \mathcal{P} \right\}$	Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April	3.8%/ 3.8%
macroeconomic indicators ha		ا لک	Population tested for Covid-19	14.7‰
scope. Particularly unemploy	putting it into the group of best-scoring countries in scope. Particularly unemployment estimates and PMI		Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	2641 12.3%
scores have improved. However, due to the longer response time, the Case Fatality Rate in Sweden is			Access to credit	 Image: A set of the set of the
comparatively high.			Payments to governments postponed/cut	 Image: A start of the start of
Key ind	Key indicators		Subsidies for business costs	
GDP growth (vs. Jan		Support to	Compensation for workers on sick leave	 Image: A start of the start of
forecast)	-6.1% (-6.9%)	businesses	Short-time work	 Image: A start of the start of
		Support to workers	Expand access to sick pay	 Image: A start of the start of
Forecasted	9,7% (+27,6%)		Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits	 Image: A start of the start of
Unemployment (vs 2019)			Moratorium on dismissals	
Macroeconomic Rank /12	2 (7)		Income support for self-employed	 Image: A start of the start of
(Rank in April / 10)			Investment in skills	 Image: A start of the start of
		workers	Other social support measures	
Group		Total Score		8
	A		d not a short-time work scheme, but rather a wage compensatic The scheme halves employer's wage bills and compensates for er's wages.	

Commentary

0

SWITZERLAND

	C

Commentary		Government Measures			
Switzerland delivers good results across indicators and continues to be the top ranked country in scope. The government has further increased its economic stimulus			First announcement of response measures	28/2	
			Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April	10%/ 6%	
package and Swiss employm	ent protection programmes		Population tested for Covid-19	35.8‰	
among the countries with the of infections, Switzerland has	continue to deliver outstanding results. Having been among the countries with the highest per capita rate of infections, Switzerland has managed to stabilise new		Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	3506 5.1%	
infections at a very low level. the crisis and implementation	•		Access to credit	 Image: A start of the start of	
continues to be a benchmark	κ.		Payments to governments postponed/cut	 Image: A set of the set of the	
Key inc	licators	ا لنيسينا	Subsidies for business costs		
GDP growth (vs. Jan	-5% (-6.5%)	Support to businesses	Compensation for workers on sick leave		
forecast)			Short-time work	 Image: A set of the set of the	
		Support to workers	Expand access to sick pay		
Forecasted	2.7% (+17.4%)		Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits	 Image: A start of the start of	
Unemployment (vs 2019)			Moratorium on dismissals		
Macroeconomic Rank /12 (Rank in April / 10)	1 (1)		Income support for self-employed	 Image: A start of the start of	
	1(1)		Investment in skills		
		workers	Other social support measures	 Image: A set of the set of the	
Group	A	Total Score		6	
		to businesses. In the pa applied to short-time w	e an immediate support system mixing both short-time work measures and creat ist weeks, Switzerland has expanded the support, leading to notably 37% of wo ork -instead of the estimated 15%. With that said, the unemployment rate in Sw heights in Switzerland, standing at almost 4% as businesses have frozen hirings.	rkers having	

		UNITED K	INGDOM		
Commentary The UK has been 2-3 weeks behind many other European countries in terms of infections and because of that has experienced the peak of the pandemic after the first version of this paper has been published. Consequentially, the UK's performance across indicators has now dropped dramatically. More recent unemployment and GDP estimates are decisively more pessimistic. As the UK faces a challenging recovery, the government's stimulus package has been increased and the JobRetention scheme extended until October.		Government Measures			
		General First announcement of response measures Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in A Population tested for Covid-19 Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate		16/3 ·il 4.5% / 1.4% 18.7% 3286 14.5%	
			Access to credit Payments to governments postponed/cut		
Key indicators			Subsidies for business costs		
GDP growth (vs. Jan forecast)	-6.5% (-7.9%)	Support to businesses	Compensation for workers on sick leave Short-time work		
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	6.7% (+81.1%)	67	Expand access to sick pay Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits Moratorium on dismissals		
Macroeconomic Rank /12 (Rank in April / 10)	11 (3)	Support to workers	Income support for self-employed Investment in skills	✓ ✓	
		Total Score	Other social support measures	6	
Group	D		d a Coronavirus JobRetention Scheme in early April, covering al JK. The stimulus package was just drastically increased, and the eme extended.	most	

USA 👙					
Commentary		Government Measures			
Infections in the US skyrocketed during the month of April making the US the most affected country globally and individual States, most notably New York, have experienced a severe public health crisis. In its response, the government introduced an economic		$\langle c \rangle$	First announcement of response measures Size of economic stimulus package (% GDP) / in April Population tested for Covid-19	11/3 11% 26.3‰	
stimulus package of massive this package was largely need that are considered table sta	ded to pay for measures	General	Covid-19 cases/ Million pop. Case Fatality Rate	4187 6.1%	
Despite decent macroecono stimulus, labour market prote	mic performance and a large		Access to credit		
	Key indicators		Payments to governments postponed/cut Subsidies for business costs		
GDP growth (vs. Jan forecast)	-6.5% (-8.5%)	Support to businesses	Compensation for workers on sick leave Short-time work		
Forecasted Unemployment (vs 2019)	9.2% (+148.6%)	67	Expand access to sick pay Increased or simplified access to unemployment benefits Moratorium on dismissals	✓ ✓	
Macroeconomic Rank /12 (Rank in April / 10)	7 (n/a)	Support to workers	Income support for self-employed Investment in skills		
			Other social support measures	~	
Group	B	Total Score6With no short-time work or temporary unemployment measures in place and overall meagre automatic stabilisers, a large part of the stimulus package is needed to provide basic social protection.			



THE ADECCO GROUP

